



Washington State Auditor's Office

Government that works for citizens

Accountability Audit Report

City of Soap Lake

Grant County

For the period January 1, 2012 through December 31, 2014

Published June 6, 2016

Report No. 1016610





Washington State Auditor's Office

June 6, 2016

City Council
City of Soap Lake
Soap Lake, Washington

Report on Accountability

Thank you for the opportunity to work with you to promote accountability, integrity and openness in government. The State Auditor's Office takes seriously our role of providing state and local governments with assurance and accountability as the independent auditor of public accounts. In this way, we strive to help government work better, cost less, deliver higher value and earn greater public trust.

Independent audits provide essential accountability and transparency for City operations. This information is valuable to management, the governing body and public stakeholders when assessing the government's stewardship of public resources.

The attached comprises our report on the City's compliance and safeguarding of public resources. Our independent audit report describes the overall results and conclusions for areas we examined. We appreciate the opportunity to work with your staff and we value your cooperation during the audit.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Troy X. Kelley".

TROY KELLEY
STATE AUDITOR
OLYMPIA, WA

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Audit Summary.....	4
Schedule Of Audit Findings And Responses.....	5
Related Reports.....	13
Information About The City	14
About The State Auditor’s Office.....	15

AUDIT SUMMARY

Results in brief

In most areas we audited, City operations complied with applicable requirements and provided adequate safeguarding of public resources. The City also complied with state laws and regulations and its own policies and procedures in the areas we examined.

However, we identified areas in which the City could make improvements.

We recommended the City strengthen its controls over the acquisition, tracking, and sale of police Department assets, as well as improve monitoring over contracts entered into by the police department.

These recommendations were included in our report as a finding.

We also noted certain matters that we communicated to City management in a letter dated May 11, 2016, related to interfund transactions. We appreciate the City's commitment to resolving those matters.

About the audit

This report contains the results of our independent accountability audit of the City of Soap Lake from January 1, 2012 through December 31, 2014.

Management is responsible for ensuring compliance and adequate safeguarding of public resources from fraud, loss or abuse. This includes the design, implementation and maintenance of internal controls relevant to these objectives.

Our audit involved performing procedures to obtain evidence about the City's uses of public resources, compliance with state laws and regulations and its own policies and procedures, and internal controls over such matters.

In keeping with general auditing practices, we do not examine every transaction, activity or area. Instead, the areas examined were those representing the highest risk of fraud, loss, abuse, or noncompliance. The following areas were examined during this audit period:

- Competitive procurement and compliance with public contracting requirements
- Equipment tracking
- Interfund transactions

SCHEDULE OF AUDIT FINDINGS AND RESPONSES

2014-001 The City police department did not use assets in an approved or authorized manner.

Background

The City of Soap Lake serves a population of 1,550 Grant County citizens. The City police department employs three full-time employees, which include one Police Chief and two officers.

The City police department's annual budget is approximately \$345,523. From 2013 to 2015, the department's average annual expenditures were \$325,721. From October 2013 to January 2016, the department sold approximately \$69,100 in surplus department assets.

In December 2013, the City Police department began obtaining Department of Defense excess military assets through the Law Enforcement Support Office (LESO) 1033 program, administered by the Department of Enterprise Services (DES). This program allows law enforcement agencies to acquire military assets for current law enforcement purposes at no cost, except the travel and/or shipping expenses associated with acquiring the asset. Since this time, the City's police department has acquired 285 assets, through the LESO 1033 program, including a bobcat, ATV, snow blowers, snowmobiles, trailers, a cargo truck, gym equipment, and firearms, among other assets.

Description of Condition

Authority to enter into contracts

In order to obtain assets through the LESO 1033 program, the City's Police Chief signed a binding "State Plan of Operation" (SPO) contract with DES. The Chief signed the contract on behalf of the City, as the, "Chief Executive Official". However, the City could not demonstrate that the Council had granted authority to the Chief to enter into contracts on behalf of the City.

Compliance with LESO 1033 program

The SPO provides that property under the program is for use by agencies in law enforcement activities, with emphasis on counter-drug/counter-terrorism activities. Further, property is for current use, and will not be requested for speculative or possible future use. In addition, property will not be used for sale, lease, loan, personal use, rent, exchange, barter, or be used by non-program

participants. The Department did not consistently acquire and utilize program assets for the purposes designated by the program as follows:

- The Department acquired assets for future, speculative use at an off road vehicle park the City has considered building. The department acquired a high mobility vehicle (HMV), multiple all-terrain vehicles (ATV's), snowmobiles, and a bobcat, which have a combined estimated value of \$129,300.
- The Department acquired various gym and training equipment that is maintained for use at the Police Chief's personal athletic business. These items have an estimated value of \$20,350 and include an elliptical machine, treadmills, floor mat, and various martial arts equipment. The Chief's business charges a monthly membership fee to citizens and is accessible 24-hours a day with a key code. All equipment maintained inside the athletic business, including the LESO 1033 program assets, are available for use by all athletic members.

Internal controls over asset tracking

Assets acquired by the police department through the LESO 1033 program did not follow the City's normal internal control process for asset acquisition. Further, the City's internal control process over safeguarding and monitoring was insufficient as follows:

- The police department did not seek approval to acquire assets under the program nor did they notify the Finance Director, Mayor, or City Council that assets had been acquired. Compounding this, the assets were free and therefore did not require the City to process a voucher, which would have notified the parties of the asset acquisition.
- The City did not have a small and attractive asset policy before May 2014. As of October 2015, the City had not yet implemented the 2014 policy, which included compilation of an asset tracking list and routine inventories of assets. Individual City departments are responsible for tracking their own equipment.
- The City does not have a contract in place with the Police Chief to define the equitable benefit the City is receiving in exchange for allowing the Chief to profit from use of program assets in his personally-owned athletic business, or to establish liability in the event a customer is injured on the City's assets in the Chief's athletic business. Further, the City has not considered the effect this arrangement has on compliance with the LESO

1033 program, since a few of these assets are in loan status through the program and are not City assets.

- Neither the City or police department monitored tracking of the LESO 1033 assets and compliance with contract terms. The Mayor and City council were not made aware of this contract until the audit brought it to their attention.
- One asset valued at \$16,100 (bobcat) was located at an officer's personal residence near Spokane for repairs by the officer. It is unclear whether the City considered if there was any liability risk associated with transporting this asset this far beyond City limits and if there was any consideration given to find a reasonably priced local repair shop that could have addressed the necessary repairs. In addition, due to its location, we were unable to assess any risk associated with the potential for personal misuse of this asset.

Internal controls over asset sales

In October 2013, the City police department started to surplus and sell assets more frequently than in the past. The department's control process over asset sales included the following weaknesses:

- The department's sales were "cash-only", increasing the risk of loss, and reducing the City's ability to make sure the total amount receipted was deposited.
- While the City has staff trained and available to receipt cash at City hall, the police department instead handled a majority of the receipting themselves.
- One officer was responsible for most functions surrounding the sale, including advertising, locating a buyer, cash receipting, sales paperwork, and deposit to the Finance Director.
- Five police surplused assets were sold to City employees. We could not verify if advertising for two of these assets was completed. A reserve officer purchased a 1999 Blazer, a volunteer fire fighter purchased a 2007 Ford Explorer, and one prior police officer sold three ATV's to his stepson.
- The City allowed the Chief to set a sale price for police department surplused assets, which he communicated verbally to the officer handling

the sales. However, the Chief did not examine the final sales paperwork and deposit to the Finance Director to make sure the actual selling price agreed to his set price, and that the entire sale amount was deposited with the City.

Cause of Condition

The Mayor and City Council did not adequately monitor the police department's activities. In addition, the police department obtained assets through three separate programs – State surplus, Federal surplus, and the LESO 1033 program. As a result, the Mayor was aware of some assets, including a few of the gym assets located at the Chief's personal business; however, he did not necessarily know which assets were acquired through which program, and as such, overall safeguarding and compliance with the LESO 1033 program was left to the police department.

The City Council properly approved sales of police department assets through resolution for surplus. However, the City allowed the police department to handle all aspects of the sales transaction including cash-only receipting.

Both the Mayor and police department saw the benefit of acquiring assets through the various programs, as the department was able to upgrade their department assets at minimal cost to the City. However, they did not dedicate the time and attention to understand the program requirements and establish proper internal controls before utilizing the program so extensively.

Effect of Condition

Internal control weaknesses over police department assets created an increased risk of potential misuse and misappropriation. The sale process made it difficult to determine whether the City received the best price for the assets, whether the actual price paid was consistent with the Chief's price, or whether a loss occurred.

A review of 64 of the 285 assets acquired through this program identified non-compliance concerns over 26 assets due to tracking, risk of personal use or non-participant use, and acquisition for speculative future use. We also identified two assets that could not be accounted for. These totaled approximately \$1,700.

The City's noncompliance with program requirements could affect the City's ability to use the LESO 1033 program in the future. In addition, acquisition of LESO 1033 assets for unallowable purposes made the assets unavailable for use by other law enforcement agencies in the manner intended by the program.

The City has not formally established the equitable benefit received by the City from the Chief's use of City assets in his personal business, which is a possible violation of state law (RCW 42.23.070).

Recommendation

We recommend the City strengthen internal controls over acquisition, tracking, and sale of all City assets to increase compliance and adequately safeguard public resources. This should include compiling and maintaining a central asset listing, independent periodic inventories, and increased segregation of duties and monitoring over police department asset sales.

We further recommend the City implement monitoring procedures over contracts entered into by the police department, to ensure compliance with any agreed upon terms and conditions. In addition, the City should either establish a contract with the Chief outlining the equitable benefit and liability responsibilities for assets located in the Chief's personal business, or recover the assets.

City's Response

Soap Lake City Council and financial staff thank the Washington State Auditor's Office for their professional and detailed report on the financial statements and accountability audit conducted by WSAO's Wenatchee staff. The WSAO staff was considerate of, and sensitive to, the nature of any questions presented by attending council and staff at the Exit Conference.

The City Council of Soap Lake and its financial staff acknowledge the following audit findings made by the Washington State Auditor's Office:

- *Unauthorized use of city assets.*
- *Undemonstrated authorization of contract acceptance.*
- *Insufficient internal controls and tracking of city assets.*
- *Insufficient internal controls and tracking of the selling of city assets.*

The City Council of Soap Lake and its financial staff have worked with the WSAO to correct the above issues as follows:

- *All city assets have been returned to the City of Soap Lake for proper storage and administration.*
- *City Council of Soap Lake has updated its process of entering into contractual agreements.*

- *Financial staff of City of Soap Lake has implemented the City's asset tracking policy by placing identifying asset tags on all city assets deemed 'small and attractive' and/or have significant monetary value to the city.*
- *Financial staff of City of Soap Lake has implemented a process for receipting and depositing cash sales of city property, written authorization for the sale of property at less than the advertised price, and proper council approval of surplus property.*

It is the desire of the City Council of Soap Lake and its staff to comply with Washington State RCW and WAC and to provide an open, transparent city government dedicated to the needs of the citizens of City of Soap Lake.

Auditor's Remarks

We appreciate the City's commitment to resolving the concerns noted in our audit finding. We will evaluate the City's corrective action during our next regularly scheduled accountability audit.

Applicable Laws and Regulations

RCW 42.23.070

Prohibited acts.

(1) No municipal officer may use his or her position to secure special privileges or exemptions for himself, herself, or others.

RCW 43.09.200 – Local government accounting – Uniform system of accounting.

The state auditor shall formulate, prescribe, and install a system of accounting and reporting for all local governments, which shall be uniform for every public institution, and every public office, and every public account of the same class.

The system shall exhibit true accounts and detailed statements of funds collected, received, and expended for account of the public for any purpose whatever, and by all public officers, employees, or other persons.

The accounts shall show the receipt, use, and disposition of all public property, and the income, if any, derived therefrom; all sources of public income, and the amounts due and received from each source; all receipts, vouchers, and other documents

kept, or required to be kept, necessary to isolate and prove the validity of every transaction; all statements and reports made or required to be made, for the internal administration of the office to which they pertain; and all reports published or required to be published, for the information of the people regarding any and all details of the financial administration of public affairs.

Chapter 3.3.5.10 of the *Budgeting, Accounting, and Reporting System* (BARS) manual states in part:

A physical inventory of the capital assets is necessary to verify that the assets still exist; it also provides updates on the condition of the assets. This information demonstrates that the local government is exercising its custodial responsibility for the asset and is beneficial when establishing an insurance claim because it substantiates both the existence and the condition of the asset near the time of loss or damage.

LESO 1033 Program contract terms and conditions:

III.C- Property available under this agreement is for the current use of authorized program participants; it will not be requested nor issued for speculative use/possible future use. Property will not be obtained for the purpose of sale, lease, loan, personal use, rent, exchange, barter, to secure a loan, or to otherwise supplement normal LEA or State/Local governmental budgets. All requests for property will be based on bona fide law enforcement requirements. Under no circumstances will property be sold or otherwise transferred to non-U.S. persons or exported. Loaning to non-participants of the DLA LESO program is not authorized.

III.F- Demilitarization (DEMIL) codes B, C, D, E, F, G, and Q property with these codes are controlled property. Once the LEA no longer have use for property in these DEMIL codes the property must either be transferred to another LEA with State approval first or returned to DLA disposition services for disposal.

III.I-Property obtained under this SPO must be placed into use within one (1) year of receipt, unless the condition of the property renders it unusable, in which case the property can be

returned to the nearest DLA disposition services site. If the property is not put into use by the LEA within one (1) year, the State/LEA must coordinate a transfer of property to another LEA or request a turn-in to return the property to the nearest DLA Disposition services site.

XI. Program Suspension & Termination

A. The LEA is required to abide by the terms and conditions of the State Plan of Operation in order to maintain active status.

B. The State and/or DLA LESO shall suspend or terminate an LEA: 1. Suspend LEA in all situations relating to the suspected or actual abuse of LESO program property or requirements and or repeated failure to meet the terms and conditions of the SPO. Suspension may lead to Termination. 8. In cases relating to an LEA termination, the LEA will have 60 days to complete the transfer or turn-in of all LESO Program property in their possession.

XVI. Termination

B. The CEO for the LEA hereby agrees to comply with all provision set forth herein and acknowledges that any violation of the terms and conditions of this SPO may be grounds for immediate termination and possible legal consequences, to include pursuit of criminal prosecution if so warranted.

RELATED REPORTS

Financial

Our opinion on the City's financial statements is provided in a separate report, which includes the City's financial statements. That report is available on our website, <http://portal.sao.wa.gov/ReportSearch>.

INFORMATION ABOUT THE CITY

The City of Soap Lake was incorporated in 1919 and serves a population of approximately 1,550 Grant County citizens. The City provides an array of services including water, sewer, garbage, police and fire protection. It also provides parks and recreation and operates a campground.

An elected, seven-member Council and a separately-elected Mayor govern the City. The Council appoints management to oversee the City's daily operations as well as its nine full-time employees. City expenditures for 2012, 2013 and 2014 were approximately \$1.79 million, \$1.84 million and \$5.38 million, respectively.

Contact information related to this report	
Address:	City of Soap Lake 239 2nd Avenue S.E. P.O. Box 1270 Soap Lake, WA 98851
Contact:	Karen Hand, Finance Director
Telephone:	(509) 246-1211
Website:	www.cityofsoaplake.org

Information current as of report publish date.

Audit history

You can find current and past audit reports for the City of Soap Lake at <http://portal.sao.wa.gov/ReportSearch>.

ABOUT THE STATE AUDITOR'S OFFICE

The State Auditor's Office is established in the state's Constitution and is part of the executive branch of state government. The State Auditor is elected by the citizens of Washington and serves four-year terms.

We work with our audit clients and citizens to achieve our vision of government that works for citizens, by helping governments work better, cost less, deliver higher value, and earn greater public trust.

In fulfilling our mission to hold state and local governments accountable for the use of public resources, we also hold ourselves accountable by continually improving our audit quality and operational efficiency and developing highly engaged and committed employees.

As an elected agency, the State Auditor's Office has the independence necessary to objectively perform audits and investigations. Our audits are designed to comply with professional standards as well as to satisfy the requirements of federal, state, and local laws.

Our audits look at financial information and compliance with state, federal and local laws on the part of all local governments, including schools, and all state agencies, including institutions of higher education. In addition, we conduct performance audits of state agencies and local governments as well as [fraud](#), state [whistleblower](#) and [citizen hotline](#) investigations.

The results of our work are widely distributed through a variety of reports, which are available on our [website](#) and through our free, electronic [subscription](#) service.

We take our role as partners in accountability seriously, and provide training and technical assistance to governments, and have an extensive quality assurance program.

Contact information for the State Auditor's Office	
Public Records requests	PublicRecords@sao.wa.gov
Main telephone	(360) 902-0370
Toll-free Citizen Hotline	(866) 902-3900
Website	www.sao.wa.gov